1. Call to Order
   a. 2:03PM
2. Roll Call
   a. Present: Jacqueline Lee, Gina Scott, Chase Hickey, Serena Chang, Adam Cross, Jason Jennings, An Vu, Miguel Carias, Hanchen Wang, Cindy Tsai, John Hughes, Margaret Ramaeker, Kamron Williams, Sam Horio, Becca Rae Rose
   b. Excused:
   c. Unexcused:
   d. * = voting members, voting members present = 11
3. Approval of Week 8 Minutes
   a. Motion: Adam Cross
   b. Second: Manav Dixit
   c. Results
      i. Yay: 5
      ii. Nay: 0
      iii. Abstain: 0
4. New Business
   a. Discussion on scores of Student Life proposals
      i. Had lower scores than student retention—why?
         1. Miguel Carias
            a. Student retention programs were ranked higher—they had a greater impact: assurance of academic/career success and wellbeing; these seem necessary in comparison to student life programs which feel more of a choice
         2. John Hughes
            a. If programs are open to everyone, they could get consequences in their breadth score just b/c of math; maybe it's best to measure by accessibility as well
         3. Chase Hickey
            a. Dollars per student reached seems a better way to quantify things; but maybe if students learn something and take away something + share it with other students, the reach could actually be further than we think
   b. Review of lowest ranking proposals
      i. Why did CSI score so lowly?
         1. Cindy Tsai
            a. CSI Dialogue program: this probably went down due to the cost vs. number of students that could participate.
         2. Adam Cross
a. The CSI programs had more of an abstract contribution; harder to really quantify their value. Looking at capacity ratio changes things
b. Some programs have smaller reach but greater benefit
c. Interviewing doesn’t guarantee an increase in ranking; many programs fell after

3. John Hughes
   a. Interviewing might have made a difference; we made a choice not to interview–would have probably been told about the ripple effect among student impact through them

ii. Advice for CSI?
   1. Adam Cross
      a. Maybe they could work on finding ways to quantify their impacts on students/their reach–focusing more on their secondhand impact could be more useful as well
   2. Cindy Tsai
      a. She participated in CST (CSI); many students don’t go to CSI if they want to do community service–there’s other student orgs for that path
   3. Margaret Ramaeker
      a. It’s important to get feedback from councils/different colleges

   c. ArtPower: is any portion of the proposal preferred over the others?
      i. Gina Scott
         1. People in council wanted Art Power to be higher since UCSD is a STEM-focused campus
      ii. John Hughes
         1. One time black & latinx programming fee vs. repeating fees for the other 2

d. EFA: is any portion of the proposal preferred over the others?
   i. John Hughes
      1. This one is very similar to ArtPower’s proposal–some one time fees, some recurring.

e. How should the committee present our ultimate recommendations?
   i. Only present top 12 as of right now–handful of people agree with this

5. Announcements
   a. Black Resource Center employment opportunity–look out for forwarded email!
   b. Campus will go somewhat back to normal in the upcoming year
   c. Don’t forget to submit the final report–try by today and let Jacqueline know if not
      i. More feedback: johnhughes@ucsd.edu
   d. Will be notified if don’t have meeting next week

6. Adjournment
   a. 3:03PM