Student Fee Advisory Committee
Meeting Agenda
Winter Quarter Week 3, 1/22/2021
Fridays, 2:00 – 4:00 P.M.

1. Call to Order
   a. 2:04PM

2. Roll Call
   b. Excused:
   c. Unexcused: Arnav Taneja*, Zachary Infante*, Nick Butler
   d. *= voting members, voting members present = 12

3. Approval of Minutes
   a. Winter 2021 Week 1
      i. Motion by Lakshmi Chilukuri, seconded by Chase Hickey
         1. Approve: 11
         2. Reject:
         3. Abstain: 1

4. New Business
   a. Review of Proposed California Budget and Its Implications
      i. In May, the Governor revises the budget. What happens between January and May, taxes are due. Governor will make changes based on feedback and available resources.
      ii. Budget is hammered out in the legislature and finalized by June 30th. Governor can line item veto, but can’t add.
      iii. Overall budget
         1. Proposes $164.5 billion in general fund expenditure
         2. Includes $18.4B in new discretionary resources, $15.6B in new reserves
      iv. Budget notes
         1. Risks to the forecast remain higher than usual and economic inequality has intensified since the pandemic began
         2. Expenditures are projected to grow faster than revenues with a structural deficit of 7.6 billion projected for 2022-23 that is forecast to grow over $11 billion
      v. University of California
         1. $3.819B in recurring funds
a. +3.6% increase in academic campuses ($127.4M)
b. +2.9% for UCOP ($8.9M)

2. Regents requested $518.3M recurring funds, $250M of one time funds (fraction of what they requested)

vi. Budget Bill Language
1. Holds tuition flat for 2021-22.
2. Takes action to close “equity gaps” by 2025, works with CCC and CSU to share metrics and plans
3. Increase the number of online learning opportunities by 10% by June 2022
4. Better align student learning objectives with workforce needs
5. Develop dual admissions pathway for first time-CCC freshman to receive guaranteed admission to UC. This will be a trailer bill, but language is not written yet
6. Johns opinion: numbers don’t really work out, so will be interesting to see how it plays out

vii. $136.3M of New Recurring Funds
1. $103.9 mil for a 3% base budget increase for the campuses and UCOP. This would be a partial restoration of the $300 million cut UC sustained in FY 2020-21
2. $12.9 mil for UC Prime (for medical students)
3. $15 mil for basic needs to focus on SMH and technology
4. $1.5 mil for Immigrant Legal Services located in UC Davis
5. $1 mil for transition to Canvas
6. $1 mil for firearms violence research at UC Davis
7. $1 mil for the Proposition 56 graduate medical education backfill to reach a total appropriation of $40 million

viii. $225.3M of One Time Funds
1. $175 mil for deferred maintenance / energy efficiency
2. $20 mil for
3. $15 mil for emergency fin aid
4. $5 mil for cultural competent professional training
5. $5 mil for California Subject Matter Project for learning loss
6. $2 mil for ^, specifically ethnic studies
7. $2 mil for ANR Fire Advisors
8. $1.3 mil for UC Health to conduct health modeling consortium with California Department of Health

ix. Impact: Academic and Student Affairs.
1. RESTORES cal grants, INCREASE $35 mil to gf of cal grant, INCREASE $20 mil for cal grant for former or foster youth,
REQUIRES local educational agencies to confirm that all high school seniors complete a FAFSA and CDA.

x. Impact on UCSD 2021-22 budget process
   1. State cut 10% of budget last year
   2. Due to the distribution of state gf within the UC system (some schools receive more per student than others), UCSD implemented a 4% core fund cut
      a. Permanent cuts. But we are budget neutral now.
   3. Future cuts beyond 2021-22 may be required due to forecast risks, dependence of state reserve funds, tuition freezes.
   4. Other SFAC’s might be making significantly larger cuts compared to our campuses.
      a. We might be the only campus who is an ADVISORY committee

5. Becca: will the unit heads know this (neutral year)?
   a. Alleviate any anxiety. Student role is never to decide what cuts to make but what is relevant. Just be a great advocate :)
   b. Margaret: there’s no memo that has been sent about the budget yet. It's mainly higher leadership discussions right now. If it comes up, the goal is to still access the unit
   c. Liz: Sounds like we can all help by opening our interviews with a statement like we are here to get to know your units and programs more and hear about what you are doing for the students and University.

b. Discussion of Subcommittee Review Process
   i. Logistics FAQ
      1. Teams schedule themselves during their presentation slot before meeting
      2. During meeting, teams present their unit analysis and interview as well as their score or scores per program in that unit
      3. The committee asks questions and discusses those scores before moving on to place those scores on working document
      4. The scores are not final at this point! They are only finalized when we approve the report in Spring Quarter.
   ii. So how do you feel about the current process?
      1. What is and is not working for you as a subcommittee might be facing and that we might have to edit or change?
      2. If we need to change aspects of the process or pivot entirely, now is the time to speak up and let us know
a. Becca: do we give a meeting to every single program head within units?
   i. Unit heads thought they’d represent units as a whole
b. Norienne: just want to share my experience. WCSAB and Care at SARC. they preferred to all come on one day. An hour for the four units to come and do a mini presentation will be fine.
c. Lakshmi: invite unit heads and let them tell you what they think they can cover. If the unit heads think they can cover it then fine.

5. Announcements
   a. Not really any presentations today. We understand things are going slow but it's better to get the ball rolling than not. We want some good discussions today
   b. Final Recommendation Report Extension
      i. The recommendation report is typically due at the end of Winter Quarter in order to be able to consider SFAC priorities in the VCSA campus budgeting process
      ii. Due to scale of report this year as well as continued uncertainty in the CA state budget in regards to UC/CSU allocation, we have more time in our process this year
      iii. For us, the interviewing itself can go to the end of winter quarter and then we begin discussing and shaping the report during the spring quarter
      iv. With that, we can extend the meeting slots that we have on our schedule up to week 10
      v. New proposed state budget restores roughly a third of the budget that was cut from the UC in 2020 due to COVID
      vi. As a result, the focus allocation/contraction process per campus, including us and other SFACs, has been shifted. Still a lot of variability from now until when the budget gets approved.
         1. Becca: Should we plan on having one 20-min slot per individual unit we are reviewing? Or lump them together? For instance, we have the SSP cluster, which includes OASIS, CASP, and other units, should we do one 20 min slot for all of SSP units, or one 20 min slot per individual unit?
            a. Just per Unit. present whatever you reviewed. If a unit is too big for 20 mins, then don’t try to shove it into a slot.
         vii. During the quarter is new info pops up via follow up or from another teams interviews and presentations, we can still go back to that score, discuss it, and perhaps change it if the committee feels it necessary
viii. Each team only scores their assigned units and reviews other teams scoring, not scoring each program presented themselves
ix. If you still have some overview charts missing, we are still working on those last remaining few
c. Next week let's start presenting and getting some traction!

6. Adjournment
   a. 3:29pm