SFAC Winter Meeting #7  
3/4/16 2:00PM-4:00PM  
Price Center East Governance Chambers

Call to Order

Present: Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Chad Mackie, Ellen Kim, Andrew Thai, Ei Lin Chong, Crystal Inacay, Akshay Tangutur, Mukanth Vaidyanathan, Norienne Saign, Ivan Evans, Sylvia Lepe-Askari, John Hughes, John Laxa

Absent: Negin Mokhtari

Approval of Winter Quarter Meeting #6 Minutes  
Motion by Ellen, second by Crystal

SFAC Referenda Proposals

1. Options: opinion on the ballot, sending a representative to the drafting committee, having a veto power/being a step in the process
2. Opinion on the ballot
   a. Would it be on the actual language?
   b. Putting it on the ballot won't add another step and complicate the approval process
   c. Give future SFAC’s the flexibility to not partake in the process
   d. Provide publicity for SFAC
   e. Cons: AS or GSA could be opposed to our opinions, the language could be redundant, it might not make a big difference in the end
   f. Sunset clauses – inherent bias, sole opportunity to put their opinion on the ballot
      i. More appropriate in the pro/cons list
3. Sending a representative to the committee
   a. Easiest to implement, gives future SFAC’s flexibility
   b. More proactive approach, providing benefits throughout the process
   c. Cons: might not want us there, might not listen to our input, doesn't allow students to decide whether or not they want our opinion
   d. Better for the students to have someone invested in a pro/con because they would make the best arguments, rather than having us being limited to being impartial
      i. We can make suggestions to whoever is writing the statements rather than having us write them ourselves
4. SFAC veto power
   a. UCI prevents admin from pushing referenda on students with their veto power
   b. Hard to implement, may slow down the process
5. Advise the VCSA
   a. Making suggestions to the VCSA and providing your opinion may be important in the process
   b. Will encourage the VCSA to ask for language changes
   c. Adding a parallel step that doesn't necessarily interfere
6. Having a student on the committee drafting the referendum and also having the option of advising the VCSA will keep us informed regarding opinions
a. Do we want to have an active person on the committee or a liaison to gather information?
   i. Liaison - conflict of interest, act solely as clarification
7. *Advising the VCSA – provide a formal opinion based on conversation in the committee at a time that is valuable
   a. Discussing where we could fold in the step, into the process, making sure that it doesn't cause too much toll
   b. Drafting a formal proposal for the committee approval

**Dyad Updates**

1. Deep Dive Dyad
   a. Finalize annual review form
   b. Finalizing units for suggested annual review
2. Administrative and Allocative dyad
   a. Better understanding of different fee sources
   b. Build a reasonably sized pot to be able to fund one time requests, pilot programs, immediate needs, etc.
   c. What happened with the $75,000 that went to the food insecurity?

**CSF Winter Report**

1. Consensus to increase frequency and publicity of regents visits
2. UCSA fee
   a. Currently, it is up to the governing student body to decide whether or not you are a member of UCSA
      i. UCSA’s argument is that this isn't a stable funding model
   b. Implement a yearly $4-6 per student fee
   c. Looking for more details, the nature of the opt out method, exact amount of the fee that would be charged
   d. Didn't feel that it was a good idea to lend support to an unformed proposal
   e. Is it appropriate for CSF to be commenting on a fee that is going to UCSA?
      i. Should SFAC’s and CSF remain neutral on these issues?
   f. Decision to not support the resolution, with the agreement to continue to investigate the issue and possibly provide a pro/con statement for the fee
3. Legislative update
   a. Set up bodies to respond to campus climate surveys and problems
   b. Expand food stamp programs at universities
4. Best practices campaign
   a. Collected data needed for it, will be made available for everyone to view
5. Outreach campaign
   a. Pool of resources of how different campuses engage students, outreach
6. Fee accountability campaign
   a. Looking at whether or not SSF will be paying the UCOP tax
7. Discussion regarding the implementation of the new mental health fees on each campus
8. Internal charter, bylaws, standing policies
9. Discussion regarding the role of SFAC in referenda
10. Spring CSF Meeting UCSF May 21-22

**Adjournment**

**Present:** Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Chad Mackie, Andrew Thai, Ei Lin Chong, Crystal Inacay, Mukanth Vaidyanathan, Norienne Saign, Ivan Evans, Sylvia Lepe-Askari, John Hughes

**Absent:** Ellen Kim, Akshay Tangutur, Negin Mokhtari