Call to Order

Present: Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Chad Mackie, Andrew Thai, Ei Lin Chong, Crystal Inacay, Akshay Tangutur, Mukanth Vaidyanathan, Negin Mokhtari, Ivan Evans, John Hughes, John Laxa

Absent: Norienne Saign, Sylvia Lepe-Askari

Approval of Winter Quarter Meeting #4 Minutes
Motion by Chad, second by Mukanth

Dyad Updates

1. Deep Dive Dyad
   a. Decided on drafting a deep dive process, researching existing types of unit data and feedback from specific departments and units that we want to review
   b. Proposed draft
      i. Involves sending out annual review forms to be completed by groups that receive SSF funding
         1. Annual shallow review allows us to compare year to year trends and the process that these units are making
      ii. Deep Dive: two units on a three year rotating schedule, up to one or two additional units based on student needs
      iii. Winter recommendations supported by town halls, student feedback, and annual reviews
      iv. Final report for VCSA and pursue other projects such as referendum review and charter amendments

2. Resource Analysis Dyad
   a. Comprehensive report for training new members
   b. Dyad charge and the feasibility of having an allocative “pot” of money
   c. Come up with a list of questions for John and Sylvia to have a more serious discussion
      i. The context of the questions answered will determine how they move forward in the future

SFAC’s Role in Referenda

1. SFAC’s Role in Referenda
   a. At UCSD, SFAC hasn’t played any role in referenda reviews
   b. On other campuses, it ranges from SFAC’s direct involvement in approving referenda, to having statements from SFAC included on the referenda, to sending an SFAC rep to the AS for referenda drafting
c. Do we think SFAC should be involved, with the understanding that this will possibly slow down the process of referenda policy? Current suggestion is sending an SFAC rep to the group that writes the referenda

d. Why would SFAC want to be involved in all referenda
   i. We’re the experts and are responsible for enforcing a responsible fee model, checking on language, and making sure that students are prioritized
   ii. Distinction on whether or not we want to lay in on all referenda?
      1. Referenda language can be developed by students or departments, usually done hand in hand
      2. Reviewed by Student Affairs, student councils, and financial board with a process of going back and forth with changes and recommendations
      3. Any changes result in going through the process once more
      4. Referenda must be finalized 6 weeks prior to elections
      5. SFAC’s involvement may add additional time to the whole process if they act as a tertiary review, however sending in a representative won’t delay the process
      6. UCOP doesn’t have to be involved early on, but UCOP still has the power to prevent referenda from coming out, thus necessitating preapproval by UCOP before referenda are finalized

e. Language about referenda is already in our charter and requires some sort of responsibility to look at it

f. In the past, SFAC did provide recommendations for referenda

g. “The impact of what SFAC says won’t make other student orgs follow the proposed SFAC language because they have their own agendas.”

h. SFAC would be involved in the language and encouraging that parties use responsible fee models.

i. Options of working on something that allows them to have a bullet point on the pro/con list or having someone on the drafting committee, working with the GSA and AS Presidents in what SFAC can do concerning this topic

j. Discussing the right to review the finished language and being able to comment on the language versus having SFAC involved in the drafting process
   i. The drafting process is beneficial because it allows SFAC to have insight on the drafting process and be more proactive for the whole process

k. Where does SFAC want to take a role in the process of the referenda? From the beginning? End? Advise the VCSA?

---

**Adjournment**

**Present:** Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Chad Mackie, Andrew Thai, Ei Lin Chong, Crystal Inacay, Mukanth Vaidyanathan, Negin Mokhtari, Ivan Evans, John Hughes

**Absent:** Akshay Tangutur, Norienne Saign, Sylvia Lepe-Askari