## **Student Fee Advisory Committee**

Agenda
Spring Quarter Week 3
April 18, 2024
Student Services Center 400
Fridays, 3-5pm

- 1. Call to Order
  - a. 3:13 p.m
- 2. Roll Call
  - a. Present: Kristen, Priyal, Advaith, Alexis, Asha, Trung, Annie, Will, Jacob, Snigdha, Stephen
  - b. Excused:
  - c. Unexcused:
  - d. \*= voting members, voting members present =
- 3. Approval of Week 1 Minutes
  - a. Motion:
  - b. Second:
  - c. Results
    - i. Yay: 9
    - ii. Nay: 0
    - iii. Abstain: 2
- 4. New Business
  - a. Proposal Rankings
    - i. CSI: SILC Expansion and Outreach Activities
      - 1. SILC  $\rightarrow$  last year submission
      - 2. innovative? expansion of the program?
      - 3. Where will they get (sustained) funds in the future?
      - 4. SILCs = peer mentors that answer questions, plan events, connect to CSI advisors, etc.
      - 5. Are the funds for student events or SILCS? Double Dipping!
    - ii. OASIS: Equity-Driven Assessment and Innovation: Enhancing Student Success in OASIS Academic Resource Communities (ARC)
      - 1. good use of money
      - 2. long-standing impact
      - 3. meaningful for the future of students (specifically undergraduates) at UCSD
    - iii. SACM: Students with Dependents Resource Fair
      - 1. solid idea but concerns regarding specific use of funds (ex. food)
        - a. targets underrepresented population
      - 2. CalFresh, Women's Center, AS, Transfer Hub partnerships beneficial for this population

- 3. 41 attendees for prior event but increase in mailing list
- 4. high cost per student; high equity score
- iv. SSP SSCP: Gallup CliftonStrengths Training
  - 1. frame as recommendation to employees
  - 2. indirect (negative) effect on students through staff
  - 3. funds to train additional staff (core team already trained)  $\rightarrow$  not urgent
  - 4. widely used program on other campuses, departments, etc. ("life changing") but not as applicable to UCSD campus, identities, etc.
    - a. could benefit from but not entirely necessary at the moment
  - 5. 500 first assessments covered; students have to pay after
  - 6. Will staff remain in the department after training is complete?
    - a. one and done type of situation
    - b. difficult to observe direct impact on students
- v. SSP Triton Firsts: Making Transfers and First-Gen Identities Visible: The First-Gen Experience Gallery & Transfer Storytellers Contest
  - 1. general support for art but unsure in relation to other proposals
  - 2. cultural center partnerships
    - a. high equity score
  - 3. publicly available; may garner attendance, attention, etc.
  - 4. funds to supplies for students, artists who may be financially struggling
  - 5. physical artwork + on website (lasting impact)
  - 6. program funds to advertise, etc. while supply funds are for artwork, students
  - 7. plans to upscale next year to give out different levels of scholarships to students
  - 8. concerns regarding expectations for future attendance
    - a. 30-35 prior attendance; 100 expected attendance for future programs
  - 9. SFAC logo on the art!!!
- vi. TSS: Transfer First-Gen Professional Development Funds
  - 1. builds off off STEP program that SFAC funded last year
    - a. additional financial support for participants
    - b. payment for graduate school applications, technology + software, tutors, online programs, test fees, etc.
  - 2. students will receive funds upon participation in STEP, programming events in Fall and Winter Quarter
    - a. will be allowed to spend funds only after consultation with professional staff
  - 3. \$150 per student
- b. \*CPEO will be ranked after their presentation next week
  - i. programming expenses
  - ii. little info but interview will be next week  $\rightarrow$  will formally judge after
- 5. Announcements

a.
6. Adjournment
a.