Student Fee Advisory Committee

Minutes

Winter Quarter Week 3

Friday, January 27, 2023

Fridays, 3:00-5:00 P.M.

- 1. Call to Order
 - a. 3:05
- 2. Roll Call
- a. Present: Chris, Divyanshi, Monet, Jose, Gina, Vasvi, Lanchi, Will, Steven
- b. Excused:
- c. Unexcused: Advith, Brian
- d. * = voting members, voting members present =
- 3. Approval of Week 2 Minutes
- a. Motion: Ben
- b. Second: Steven
- c. Results
- i. Yay: 7
- ii. Nav: 1
- iii. Abstain: 1
- 4. New Business
- a. Subcommittee assignments and guidelines

Chase: Assignments available on the Google drive, everyone should have access to it. Also now have proposals to work on. Mainly come from student affairs. Share subcommittees.

John: Margaret Ramaeker is CBO and will be joining for most meetings this quarter.

Chase: Roughly 13 proposals to look at this quarter, each subcommittee should have roughly 5. Subcommittees will be points of contact that proposals will be run through. Should be meeting to discuss proposals, etc. Each subcommittee will have leader who will set up meetings, interviews, presentations, etc. Meet with subcommittee early in the week, bring your proposals to council AS GPSA etc, then bring it to SFAC for 20 minute discussion on it to evaluate. Intention is to operate efficiently. Have specifics to point out what successful proposals had over less or unsuccessful ones.

b. Early read through idea:

John: Able to fund maybe a quarter of the proposals. Not uncommon to have one from each group, equally distributed, when the best proposals might be all from one group. Need to be familiar with the other proposals as well.

John's idea from years past: early read through of proposals. Do a quick read through and give early scoring to proposals. Might serve as measuring stick early in the process.

Margaret: Making sure to have an even playing field. Early read through is an extra step, but would be beneficial.

Ben: Make a Google form where members use drop down to easily rank proposals. Just want preliminary results, ensure best proposals get funding.

Chase: Idea not to play favorites, but to get best proposals funding.

c. Subcommittee questions

Gina: Is there any sort of group messaging?

Chase: Not slack or phone numbers, but something will be set up.

Lanchi: Is there a specific time set up, or up to groups? What to do if people don't show up? Chase: Leave it up to you. It's a new thing so groups will have a lot of autonomy. Chairs will be

checking in.

Ben: There will be a contact sheet, they know someone from SFAC will be checking in. Two concerns in making subcommittees: Times that work with everyone and diversity in backgrounds and majors.

John: Consider attendance part of the interview process. If people who are asking for money don't show up, that hurts their proposal and he'd like to know.

Margaret: Previously, it has happened, but trying multiple nodes of communication can help as well.

John: Vibe checks are good, but beware of implicit biases in decision making. Vibe check isn't a serious term, so maybe refer to it as something else.

- 5. Announcements
 - a. Reps stick around
- 6. Adjournment
 - a. 3:55